

# IXPs | Considerations and Best Practices (some)

APID | 19 June 2014

Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea

# The Opportunities

- Local hosting and content development e.g Google Cache model
- Approach the existing content providers like the online newspaper company and suggest that they consider local hosting as an option.
- Develop the hosting products
- Reviewing the current hosting solutions and products available
- Working in partnership with local web developers
- e-Government Services
- Building carrier neutral datacenters
- Relevant local content
- Develop local language content for e-learning, e-government services, IPTV and Software solutions.
- Regional interconnection - peering and transit opportunities.
- Voice Over IP Services

# Location and Neutrality

- Location and neutrality are critical “deal breakers” for the establishment of the IXP.
- **Reach consensus on a potential location and neutrality of the IXP**
- Note: Content from AXIS I Best Practices Workshops



# Location

- **Location is very important**
- **The IXP location should be neutral and low cost**
- **In considering the location of the IXP – these factors should be considered:**
  - ✓ **Space**
  - ✓ **Environmental Control**
  - ✓ **Security**
  - ✓ **Power**
  - ✓ **Access to terrestrial infrastructure**
  - ✓ **Cabling**
  - ✓ **Support**

# Neutrality

- All IXPs are **owned and managed neutrally** with respect to all operators (members and non-members).
- Many ISPs have expressed strong feelings about the importance of neutrality of IXPs.
- IXPs generally abstain from carrying out any activity that may compete with member business activities or opportunities.
  - If an IXP competes with members, it may lose member support.
- The Important Point is that the ownership and management of the IXP should always remain neutral

# Ownership

- Many IXPs begin with donations of equipment, rack space, labour, and other assistance. This is part of the cooperative nature of most start-up IXPs.
- For donations, written agreements are necessary to define the transaction and ownership thereafter.
- Neutrality can be at stake if one member owns parts of the IXP.
- Therefore the IXP should always maintain ownership and responsibility of its assets.

# Key Considerations | Location, Neutrality, Ownership

# Location's Neutrality

- The ownership of the facility that houses IXP location is often a cause for mistrust. It is often viewed that if a member hosts the IXP, they stand to benefit more than others.
- For instance when other members pay circuits to the IXP the host does not pay circuit leasing costs.
- In such an case the member should offer the hosting service at no cost to the IXP and its members.
- Meaning the host pays costs associated with hosting the IXP equipment such as power, cooling, security, etc.

# Location Costs

- In some instances the members may feel very strongly about being hosted in a members facility.
- In such cases the ideal situation is to find a neutral facility. There are two types of facilities;
  1. **A carrier neutral data center**
  2. **A non-data center facility neutral to all members**
- Type 1; may require an initial infrastructure investment for rack
- Type 2; will require initial infrastructure investments on power backup, air conditioning, security, racks, etc.
- Both types are subject recurring monthly operational costs unless paid for by the respective hosts.
- In most African countries Carrier Neutral facilities are not available
- Many IXPs that have started with type 2 have outgrown the space over time requiring them to move. Moving an IXP is not a simple task.
- These considerations are important to make the right decision on the location from the start

# Location Requisite Priorities

- Not all locations will meet the IXP requirements discussed earlier
- Therefore some flexibility is necessary to settle on a location
- The priorities that should be given to any location are in the following order;
  1. Space
  2. Reliable Power supply
  3. Access to terrestrial infrastructure
  4. High-sight for wireless connectivity
  5. Security

# Business Models

# Option 1: Free IXP

- The Uganda IXP (UIXP) and Seattle IXP are good examples of IXPs modeled on the Free business model
- The IXP location is donated or paid for by a willing sponsor.
- No membership, joining or monthly fees are charged to IXP participants
- Members contribute (donate) equipment, money, human resource and time to the IXP based on their ability and the needs

# Option 1: Free IXP ... (cont'd)

- **Pros;**

- Low cost of peering for members with no additional costs other than capacity to IXP
- Low operating costs for the IXP organization
- Volunteer driven; less complexity on organizational structures and management

- **Cons;**

- Difficult to scale growth when largely dependent on donations and contributions.
- Inconsistencies and inefficiencies can arise when dealing with volunteers
- Neutrality concerns can arise where one member is the largest contributor

# Option 2: Subsidized Business Model

- The Nigeria IXP (IXPN) and Malaysia IXPs are good examples of IXPs modeled on the subsidized business model
- Certain aspects and operational costs of the IXP are met by a sponsor for a sustained period of time.
- In most cases the Governments through development fund subsidize the IXP operating costs
- The IXP meets some of the operating costs by charging members a nominal fee.

# Option 2: Subsidized Business Model

## .... (cont'd)

- **Pros**

- Low-medium cost of peering for members in addition to the cost of leasing capacity to the IXP
- Sustained revenue to meet operational expenses
- Easy to scale and grow due to ability to implement and maintain management/operational structures

- **Cons**

- Uncertain future should subsidies be withdrawn or main sponsorship lost
- Neutrality or fear of capture/control by main sponsor
- Increased commitment for members on Governance aspects and reporting to sponsor
- Complex operational structures and management

# Option 3: Independent Business Model

- The Kenya IXP and Johannesburg IXP are good examples of IXPs modeled on the independent business model. Most developed IXPs in Europe have an independent business model.
- All aspects and operational expenses of the are met by the IXP.
- The IXPs generate revenue by charging fees for the services provided on a monthly, quarterly, bi-annually.
- Additional revenues from value added services, one-time fees, etc

# Option 3: Independent Business Model

- **Pros**

- Neutrality of the IXP is guaranteed in a self-sustained model
- Sustained revenue to meet operational expenses
- Easy to scale and grow due to ability to implement and maintain management/operational structures

- **Cons**

- Medium-high cost of peering for members in addition to the cost of leasing capacity to the IXP
- Increased commitments for members on Governance issues and reporting
- Complex operational structures and management

# Additional Best Practices

- Work with local Government
- Know legal and regulatory parameters in advance of getting started
- Obtain ASNs and IP Addresses from APNIC
- Partner with Internet organizations/others for mentoring opportunities
- Avoid giving members “weighted” roles – equals at the IXP
- Encourage Google cache at IXP
- Check your fuel and energy “cut-off” situations

**Jane Coffin**  
coffin@isoc.org

**Duangthip Chomprang**  
chomprang@isoc.org

The Internet Society